8.7. Rural Resilience to Disaster: explaining regional divergences (Middle Ages – c. 1850). Part I: Epizootics

Panel organiser: Soens, Tim, University of Antwerp, Belgium

Over the past decade an increased number of historical studies have focused on the impact of natural and man-made disasters in the past. Although a lot of attention has been paid to both the impact of disaster, and human adaptation and mitigation strategies, most studies fail to explain why similar ‘shocks’ often had a completely different impact in different regions and periods. Framed within the CORA-Research programme, a systematic effort to compare and explain structural regional divergences in resilience to disaster on the pre-1850 European continent has been initiated. For different types of rural disaster, we want to assess the impact of regional divergences (in A) “Institutions”: regional differences in risk-coping institutions and policies; (B) “inequalities”: the social spread of risk, linked to overall social and economic differences; (C) “Environment”: regional divergences in the development of technological answers to disaster; (D) “Discourses”: contemporary discourses and perceptions of disaster and their agency on the impact of disaster and (E) “Market development”: the capacity of regional markets to cushion the effects of natural disasters on prices and thus to alleviate their impact on market developments. In the first session, we want to explain divergences in the impact of epidemics, from the interrelated sheep- and cattle ‘panzootic’ of 1315-1321 to the successive waves of ‘rinderpest’ in the 18th century, by relating them to regional divergences in farming practices, social relations, and state or local policies. Especially for eighteen-century outbreaks of rinderpest, detailed investigations have revealed the considerable regional variation in both impact of and responses to the disease. Preventative slaughter was instated in some areas (England; the Austrian Netherlands) but failed in others (France; the Dutch Republic). Concurrently, during the eighteenth century as during the Great Cattle Plague of the fourteenth century there were marked regional differences in cattle mortality. Historians have noted links with, among other factors, cattle density and geographical isolation, but as yet no comparative study has been made of this divergent mortality. Nevertheless, such a study could help clarify, for instance, the relative importance of state intervention versus socio-economic structure in determining the impact of epidemics. Conversely, divergent experiences of cattle diseases might have led to very different medical attitudes, perceptions of risk, or ways of mitigating their impact.
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8.7.1. Power, Economics and the Seasons. Local Differences in the Perception of Cattle Plagues in 18th Century Schleswig and Holstein

Husung, Dominik, University of Göttingen, Germany

The impact of cattle plagues on society in Early Modern Europe was – like today – immense. Containment policies and strategies of coping with epidemics have been varied and were discussed controversially. This paper will use the reactions and measures of local farmers, artisans, physicians and administrators in 18th century Northern Germany in analyzing different strategies and concerns. The duchies of Schleswig and Holstein, geographically located between the Baltic and the North Sea, are especially suited for the panel’s focus on regional differences, because economical practices as well as power relations and property rights varied considerably. Parallel to the recent research on the history of epidemics from the point of view of the social and cultural history of medicine and its focus on the “framing of diseases”, the interests and behaviour of different actors and their ways of communicating in times of crisis will be examined.

8.7.2. God’s Hand striking the Netherlands? The Dutch response to Cattle Plague in the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries

Loojesteijn, Henk, International Institute of Social History, Netherlands

Van Leeuwen, Marco H.D., University of Utrecht, Netherlands

In the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries the Netherlands were hit several times, at long intervals, by swiftly spreading outbreaks of cattle-plague, severely impacting on Dutch husbandry and the rural economy. The central issue we will address in this paper will be how differences in the virulence and the tactics to fight the spread of cattle plague in the Netherlands were connected with and influenced by changing technological, social-cultural, political and institutional factors. In addition we will look at how one perceived the risk at the time and tried to contain it, how and why one tried to prevent the outbreak and spread of Cattle Plague, if, how and why one tried to come up with insurance arrangements and other forms of damage control.

8.7.3. Explaining regional variations in the impact of rinderpest. Flanders and Brabant in the 18th century

Van Roosbroeck, Filip, University of Antwerp, Belgium

Historians studying the 18th-century rinderpest epizootics in a variety of countries, such as England or the Dutch Republic, have long noted that outbreaks seem to have been particularly intense and lethal in regions specializing in cattle breeding or dairy farming. While this seems manifestly plausible — the combination of short incubation period, high contagiousness over short distances and dramatic symptoms make rinderpest a disease that can very quickly spread through a dense population while reaching high rates of mortality and morbidity — there is as yet no systematic and quantitative comparison of rinderpest outbreaks in different regions. In this paper, such a comparison will be conducted for two distinct regions in present-day Belgium: the Campine, to the east of Antwerp, characterised by peasant smallholders, and Coastal Flanders, dominated by large commercial holdings. Using annual data from tax registers as well as more detailed information gathered during the 1769–1785 epizootic, it is argued that there were significant differences in both the short-term behaviour of outbreaks as well as the long-term impact of rinderpest. These differences are explained with reference to socio-economic differences between agrosystems. The implications for the efficacy of government policy and attitudes towards rinderpest are also discussed.

8.7.4. Tradition, Judgment, and Response: Combating Cattle Plague during an Era of Disaster

Sundberg, Adam, University of Kansas, USA

The history of eighteenth century cattle plague in the Netherlands is often interpreted as a gradual adaptation leading to an eventual, successful eradication of the disease. This type of positivist analysis privileges modern assumptions about progress relating to the eventual development of veterinary science and medicine. Seen in this light, Dutch responses to rinderpest epidemics were relatively ineffectual and static. While rinderpest did eventually prompt a revaluation of disease in the Netherlands much as it did in other European countries, historians often treat the Dutch case as one of political indecision and conservative, rural aversion to developing techniques including slaughter and inoculation. The Dutch example must be revalued in view of the context of the era rather than exceptional. Rinderpest was not simply an independent epidemic, but part of a larger crisis that affected the Netherlands in the eighteenth century. Dutch governmental, religious, and personal documents attest to the perceived connectedness of these disasters. These documents also reveal an active interest in understanding and combating the disease whether by traditional remedies, spiritual solutions, or novel innovations. This project investigates Dutch political, cultural, and economic responses to cattle plague given the perception and interpretation of disease in the eighteenth century, their foundation in the histories and traditional means of understanding environmental change, and in view of a larger period of eighteenth century disaster.
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Van Leeuwen, Marco H.D.
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