6.5. Conflict and Negotiating Conflict in Pre-Modern Rural Societies. 

Part I

Panel organiser: Muller, Miriam, University of Birmingham, UK

This panel will examine questions regarding the nature of different types of conflicts rural societies experienced in their communities, and how they dealt with, negotiated and resolved these conflicts. Traditionally conflict in rural communities in medieval society in particular has typically been associated with conflict between lords and peasants. While the papers in this panel will explore conflict between communities and authorities, like lordship, they will also consider intra-communal strife. There is a strong theme in some of the papers in this panel of communal relationships to water, including the exploitation of the sea, and the communal maintenance of drainage and embankments, as well as conflicts over common land. A main purpose of this panel is to examine issues of communal dispute comparatively. To this end the papers in this panel will span from the medieval into the early modern. Since communities located in such marshland or coastal areas might have faced similar topographical circumstances, which required comparable economic solutions, including drainage work and the exploitation of similar local natural resources, such comparative explorations can be particularly fruitful.
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6.5.1. Fighting Drainage: local resistance to wetland drainage across the North Sea Area

Soens, Tim, University of Antwerp, Belgium

Starting in the later middle Ages thousands of hectares of coastal and inland wetland marshes have been drained and reclaimed by non-local investors increasingly backed by state power. One of the characteristics shared by many of these projects, is the fierce resistance by local communities they seem to provoke. This resistance, ranging from law suits to physical violence, has been alternatively interpreted as reflecting backwardness and conservatism of the rural population resisting land improvement. With the exception of the work of Salvatore Ciriacono, comparative research on pre-modern drainage remains scarce. In this paper we aim to explore regional divergencies in the occurrence, motivations, instruments and success-rate of local resistance against drainage projects.

6.5.2. The common denominator: Institutions of collective action as the result of continuous negotiation between various stakeholders within the Campine area (Southern Low Countries, 14th to 16th centuries)

De Keyzer, Maika, University of Antwerp, Belgium

Commons are often portrayed as a product of the emergence of institutions for corporate collective action, which tried to cope with exogenous factors such as population growth and commercial threats. During this so-called ‘silent revolution’ individuals grouped together and formed collective institutions which emerged during the High Middle Ages. These individuals decided to act together and therefore fund harmful evolutions (De Moor, 2011). Normative sources often tend to hide tensions and conflicts behind a discourse of unity and continuity, while economic sources depict a completely dehumanised society. Discord and tensions were omnipresent in medieval communities. Conflicts are expressions of communities evolving, negotiating and expressing their particular interests. By analysing the court records of the Council of Brabant, the sovereign court of Brabant, it will be stated that the institutions and regulations for collective action were not the result of an constant harmony and converging interests within society. Stakeholders within one community or between communities often had conflicting interests and tried to change the local practices or even the entire normative blue print of the common pool institutions. However, none of the stakeholders was powerful enough to wipe the slate clean and impose their claims or interests on the other members of the Campine societies. This way the institutions and regulations for collective action were a negotiated compromise which was the best option for all parties involved.

6.5.3. Conflict Correspondence and the medial structure of late medieval rural lordship

Schuerch, Isabelle, University of Zurich, Switzerland

This paper aims to look at the way conflicts were negotiated through the use of letters. The letters in question are missives, official letters that formed part of late medieval lordship communication. A closer look at these missives shows that rural conflicts over mills, fishing, grazing and custom rights were regularly treated in these documents. The main purpose of these letters was to structure agreement and de-escalation. Missives consisted less in enforcing particular lordship rights but rather in channelling and processing local disputes, which aimed at mutual relations and understanding. A closer look at these missives shows that rural conflicts over mills, fishing, grazing and custom rights were regularly treated in these documents. The main purpose of these letters was to structure agreement and de-escalation. Missives consisted less in enforcing particular lordship rights but rather in channelling and processing local disputes, which aimed at mutual relations and understanding. Missives usually consisted of a series of letters that formed part of late medieval lordship communication. They were often written as a result of mediation or arbitration or in the case of new conflicts. The letters in question are missives, official letters that formed part of late medieval lordship communication. A closer look at these missives shows that rural conflicts over mills, fishing, grazing and custom rights were regularly treated in these documents. The main purpose of these letters was to structure agreement and de-escalation. Missives consisted less in enforcing particular lordship rights but rather in channelling and processing local disputes, which aimed at mutual relations and understanding.
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